# Adolescent male soccer players have higher growth rates and risk of injury is associated with biological maturity

Raziye Dut<sup>10</sup>, Sinem Akgül<sup>20</sup>, Gürhan Dönmez<sup>30</sup>, Bülent Ulkar<sup>40</sup>, Nuray Kanbur<sup>20</sup>, Orhan Derman<sup>20</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Health Medicine, Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul; <sup>2</sup>Division of Adolescent Medicine, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara; <sup>3</sup>Department of Sports Medicine, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara; <sup>4</sup>Department of Sports Medicine, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye.

### **ABSTRACT**

**Background.** The objective of this study was to ascertain disparities in growth and maturation between male adolescents engaged in soccer and their non-athletic counterparts, as well as to examine the injury features specific to young soccer players.

**Methods.** A total of 206 soccer players between the ages of 11-16 years, and 208 non-athletic peers were enrolled. Height, weight, body mass index (BMI), annual growth rate, and skeletal age evaluated using a left handwrist x-ray were determined. Biological and sexual maturation were evaluated using skinfold thickness, body composition, and Tanner stages. The game positions, initial age for playing soccer, the number of games per/week, the number of sports injuries, date of injury, duration for return to activity, the site, nature, mechanism, and rate of injury were recorded for soccer players. Using an injury card, the characteristics of soccer player injuries were recorded.

**Results.** The mean age of the participants was  $13.6 \pm 1.5$  years. There was no difference in the growth rates between the groups at the ages of 11.0, 12.0, and 15.0 but at the ages of 13.0 and 14.0 years growth rates were higher in the soccer group. The soccer players were taller than the controls. For all Tanner stages, soccer players had a lower BMI and total body fat percentage, as well as a faster growth rate. Injuries occurred at a rate of 39.3% per year among soccer players. The most common being toe injuries, and playing soccer increased the risk of multiple injuries. Additionally, injuries occurred more frequently in soccer players who were taller, heavier, with higher total body fat and/or higher growth rate, and most commonly occurred during Tanner stage 4. Futhermore, Tanner stage 4 had a higher incidence of two or more injuries than the other stages.

**Conclusions.** Adolescent male soccer players have higher growth rates than their non-athletic peers, and their biological maturity status is associated with an increased risk of injury.

**Key words:** maturity, tanner stage, soccer, injury, adolescence.

The achievement of physical growth, the development of secondary sexual characteristics and the maturation of psychosocial skills

⊠ Raziye Dut raziyemektup@yahoo.com

Received 24th Feb 2023, revised 19th Jul 2023, 16th October 2023, accepted 17th Oct 2023.

This study was presented at the Society of Adolescent Health Medicine 2020 Annual Meeting, Adolescent Health, Transforming Risk to Wellness, March 11-14, Sheraton San Diego, as a research poster and published as an abstract in the February 2020 supplement issue of the Journal of Adolescent Health.

all occur during adolescence. This period of change and development is a vastly individual process, varying considerably.¹ Participating in sporting activities is also one of the factors that affects this variation among individuals. In the literature, an opposite relationship between physical activity and the timing of biological maturation has been reported.¹ Although some studies have shown that male adolescents with insufficient physical activity have an earlier risk of maturation², it has similarly been reported that those adolescents who engage in vigorous physical activity may also mature earlier than

their peers.<sup>3</sup> Regular physical training and participation in sports have not been shown to have an impact on the timing, grade or size of the growth spurt in many studies.<sup>4,5</sup>

In the first decade of the new millennium, the age of participation in sports has decreased, whereas the intensity of training has increased, which in turn is associated with an increase in acute and overuse injuries. 6 An important topic when discussing participation in sports during childhood and adolescence is the injury of the developing musculoskeletal system, especially the epiphyseal or growth plate.7 Epiphyseal injuries can disrupt normal bone development and growth causing permanent damage. It has been reported that the incidence of injury is high during the growth spurt period because of nonlinearity and the complexity of growth with a period of clumsiness in motor coordination, in addition to changes in flexibility and increase in stiffness when growth accelerates and maturation increases.<sup>8-10</sup> Decreased flexibility causes acute or overuse injuries, especially in boys and body mass index (BMI), fat percentage, and muscle mass changes are also reported as anthropometric factors that have an influence on injury risk.8 On the other hand, no significant relationship between early and late maturation and injury risk has been reported in some studies.11,12 In adolescent male soccer players, injury range has been reported from 38 to 85%, with 0.4-2.2 injuries per player per season.<sup>13</sup> Soccer is the most popular team sport in Turkey and children start playing at an early age. A large group of young players attend local youth soccer clubs affiliated with professional soccer clubs. In a study investigating the admissions to a sports medicine outpatient clinic in our country, it was reported that injuries in children and adolescents occurred at a rate of 23.3% in soccer, 17.2% in basketball and 14.5% in volleyball.14

In the literature, epidemiological studies on soccer injuries among adolescents have been conducted primarily in European settings, resulting in a geographic imbalance.<sup>13</sup> Although in recent years a great deal of focus has been

placed on the injury risk of adolescent soccer players in order to reduce medical health care costs, promote talent development, and introduce an adequate prevention program, some areas, such as evaluating biological maturity, are still lacking.<sup>15</sup>

This study aimed to assess the growth, skeletal, and sexual maturation of male soccer players and non-athletic peers, as well as the injury characteristics of the soccer players. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating this in Turkey. We hypothesize that biological maturation will influence injury rate, particularly as growth accelerates.

## Materials and Methods

This was a prospective, cross-sectional study. It was approved by the institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants and their parents or guardians. Male adolescent soccer players from the infrastructure of two Turkish Super League clubs, two from Istanbul and two from Ankara, comprised the study group. The training frequency was usually five days a week, one game day/week (Saturday), one day off/week (Sunday), and an average of 90 minutes a day, including warm-up, cooling, endurance, strength, speed, technical, tactical training, and game form. The control group included male adolescent volunteers who did not regularly participate in any sports with no chronic disease, did not take any medication and volunteered to participate in the study. The initial study group comprised 550 male soccer players and 393 controls. Those with missing data, including a left wrist radiograph, were excluded. Finally, 206 soccer players and 208 healthy controls, between the ages of 11-16, were included in the study. All the analyses were performed between January 2017 and September 2018. Growth rate, biological maturation (somatic assessment), and sexual and skeletal maturation were assessed in both groups. Additionally, for the soccer players, the game positions, initial age for playing soccer, number of games per week, number of sports injuries, date of injury, duration for return to activity, site of injury, injury type, and mechanism of injury were recorded, and injury rate was calculated.

Growth rate: The participants and their parents were asked for their height assessment from last year to establish one-year linear growth and established growth rate (cm/year). Current height, was measured using a stadiometer, all participants were weighed using TANITA 418 (Tanita, Japan) with an error of 0.1kg and BMI was calculated (weight (kg)/height (m²).

**Body Compositions:** The skinfold thickness measurements of five areas (triceps, subscapular, abdominal, thigh, and calf) were performed using the Holtain caliper following the standard procedure. Body composition in terms of total body fat, water, and fat-free mass (FFM) was measured using a TANITA 418.

Standard deviation scores (SDS) of all measurements according to Turkish standards were calculated.<sup>16</sup>

*Skeletal maturation:* A left-hand wrist radiograph was obtained. Skeletal age assessment was performed independently by the same physician using the Greulich-Pyle method.

*Sexual maturation:* Sexual maturation status was evaluated by showing the participants the Tanner Pubertal Stages Form and using it as a self-assessment tool by the same physician. This method has been shown to be reliable for determining pubertal staging when the examination is not possible.<sup>17</sup>

All data were collected between 06:00-08:00 am prospectively after 12 hours of overnight fasting. The participants were evaluated as barefoot and wearing only shorts. The Endocrine Calculator (ENDO-C) and CHILD Metrics computer programs were used to establish the parameters.

*Injury:* The Elite Clubs Injury Form<sup>18</sup> was used to assess sports injuries. All injuries, as well as the time spent in games and/or regular activities and the need for medical care were assessed.

Details concerning the injuries were asked of the parents, participants, and if possible, their physicians. The details of injuries such as mechanism (dribbling, collision, or other mechanisms) and injury type (fractures, strains, and sprains.. .etc) were asked. The injury incidence was calculated as injuries per 1000 hours of exposure (training and games).

## Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS for Windows Version 22.0 package program was used. The results were presented as mean +/- SD for normally distributed variables; and as median (IQR) or median (Q1-Q3) for non-normally distributed variables throughout the main document and tables. The methods applied were frequencies, cross-tabulations, descriptive statistics, and means were calculated. When parametric test assumptions were provided, the Mann-Whitney U test was used when the Student's t-test was not provided, and the comparison of numerical variables between more than two groups was investigated using one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for correlation analyses. Logistic regression analysis was performed for injury risk analysis. Differences between groups were examined using the chi-square test. The level of significance was set at 5%.

#### Results

Participants were aged 13.6±1.5 years. The skeletal age was 13.7±1.6 years in the study group and 14.3±1.6 years in the control group. Age, anthropometry, and body composition characteristics are shown in Table I.

There was no difference in the growth rates (cm/year) between the groups at the ages of 11.0, 12.0, and 15.0 (p>0.05) but at the age of 13.0 years [(7.7±3.3 cm/year in the study group vs 3.9±2.4cm/year in controls and p=0.033, respectively)] and 14.0 years [(7.8±3.3 cm/year in the study group vs. 5.5±2.9 cm/year in controls and p=0.028)] growth rates were higher in the study group. At the age of 16 years, it was

Table I. Demographic features of participants.

		1 1					
		Study Group		Control Group		Total	
	N	Median (min-max)/	N	Median (min-max)/	N	Median (min-max)/	12
	1 N	Mean±SD	11	Mean±SD	1 N	Mean±SD	р
Age	206	13.4±1.5	208	13.8±1.5	414	13.6±1.5	0.019 <sup>t</sup>
Skeletal age	206	13.7±1.6	208	14.3±1.6	414	14.0±1.8	<0.001 <sup>t</sup>
Height (cm)	204	168.5 (130.0-190.0)	205	165.0 (132.0-185.0)	409	166.0 (130.0-190.0)	<0.001 <sup>m</sup>
Height (p)	204	64.9±25.7	205	41.3±28.3	409	53.1±29.5	<0.001 <sup>t</sup>
Weight (kg)	206	53.0±12.3	207	56.3±12.8	413	54.6±12.7	$0.008^{t}$
Weight (p)	206	38.2 (1.0-95.3)	207	39.3 (1.0-99.5)	413	38.9 (1.0-99.5)	$0.748^{m}$
BMI (kg/m²)	204	18.7 (13.4-25.0)	204	20.6 (13.8-33.6)	408	19.6 (13.4-33.6)	$<0.001^{m}$
BMI (p)	204	29.1 (1.0-82.6)	204	44.5 (3.0-99.5)	408	34.0 (1.010.0-99.5)	$<0.001^{m}$
Growth rate (cm/y)	117	5.0 (0.0-19.0)	112	5.0 (0.0-18.0)	229	5.0 (0.0-19.0)	$0.424^{\rm m}$
Growth rate SDS	116	$(-0.1)\pm1.7$	112	$0.0\pm1.4$	228	0.0±1.6	$0.266^{t}$
Total body fat%	206	12.9 (5.9-21.9)	208	17.2 (9.0-38.9)	414	14.9 (5.9-38.9)	<0.001 <sup>m</sup>
Total body water%	193	43.5 (17.1-66.8)	208	33.4 (10.3-53.4)	401	36.7 (10.3-66.8)	$<0.001^{m}$
FFM%	193	59.4 (23.3-91.1)	208	45.6 (10.9-74.4)	401	50.1 (10.9-91.1)	$<0.001^{m}$
Abdominal fat%	206	8.7 (3.0-18.4)	208	13.4 (4.4-33.7)	414	10.9 (3.0-33.7)	$<0.001^{m}$
Triceps (mm)	206	14.1 (5.0-28.0)	207	12.3 (5.0-26.8)	413	13.0 (5.0-28.0)	$0.001^{m}$
Subscapular (mm)	206	12.3±4.5	208	11.3±4.5	414	11.8±4.5	$0.024^{t}$
Abdominal (mm)	206	12.0 (4.04.0-27.0)	208	13.8 (5.0-37.0)	414	13.0 (4.0-37.0)	$0.001^{m}$
Thigh (mm)	206	17.2 (8.4-34.0)	208	13.0 (7.0-28.0)	414	15.0 (7.0-34.0)	$<0.001^{m}$
Calf (mm)	206	14.8 (6.0-33.3)	208	11.4 (5.2-24.6)	414	13.0 (5.2-33.0)	<0.001 <sup>m</sup>

BMI: body mass index, FFM: fat-free mass, m: Mann Whitney U test, Mean±SD: mean±standart deviation, p: percentile, SDS: standart deviation score, t=Student t test, [(Measurements that are not noted, are considered as the number of missing data (height (cm) 204, height (p) 204, BMI (kg/m²) 204, BMI (p) 204, Growth rate (cm/y) 117, Growth rate SDS 116, Total body water% 193, FFM% 193)].

[(2.9±1.6 cm/year in the study group vs. 6.4±3.0 cm/year in controls and (p=0.004)] higher in the controls.

The triceps and subscapular skinfold thicknesses were lower at the ages of 11.0, 12.0, and 13.0 years in the study group when compared to the controls, but at the ages of 14.0, 15.0, 16.0 years they were thicker than the controls. The parameters were compared according to chronological age and are presented in Table II.

The growth rate was evaluated according to the Tanner stage, and although there was no difference, it was found to be higher in the study group for Tanner stage 1-4 (p>0.05), but it was higher in the control group at stage\_5 (p=0.012). A comparison of all the parameters according to the Tanner stages is presented in Table III.

In the study group, 8.7% were goalkeepers, 35.9% were defenders, 37.4% were strikers, and 37.4% were midfield players. The initial age for playing soccer was 8.3±1.9 years and the number of games played in the previous season was 23.6±9.4. The amount of time spent with training was 4.7±0.4 days/week and 82.5±13.4 min/day. There were no differences between playing positions and total body fat (p=0.098), FFM (p=0.580), or total body water (p=0.578). Training time (hours/day) had a negative correlation with total body fat (rho= -0.443, p<0.001), total abdominal fat (rho= -0.528, p<0.001), and abdominal skinfold thickness (rho= -0.434, p<0.001), and positively correlated with total body water (rho= 0.749, p<0.001) and FFM (rho= 0.750, p<0.001). A positive correlation was found between the growth rate and hours of training (rho= 0.379, p<0.001), and no correlation was observed with the training time (day) (rho= 0.178, p=0.055).

	že.
	ä
	g
•	$g_{1}$
	<u>ತ್</u>
	Q
	ľ
	2
	0
,	ĭ
	ಜ
•	$\overline{G}$
	or
	acco
	ters
,	eţ
	Н
	rra
	ра
	body pa
	0
-	٩
	n
	a
	Ħ
	Š
	31(
,	ot S
	parison of growti
	Ö
•	IIS
	pa
	Ē
	9
	۵)
Ē	The
۱	le II. The
۱	-
,	əle
ľ	lab
ľ	_

lan	10 11. 1	table 11: 1110 companion of Brown and Body	. 810 W CI C		Parameters according to	0								
Аор	Group		Skeletal Age	Heioht (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (ko/m²)	Growth rate	FFM%	Total Body	Total body	Abdominal	Abdominal Triceps caliper Subscapular	Subscapular	Abdominal
			(year)	()	(0.)0	( ( )	(cm/year)		water%	fat%	fat%	(mm)	caliper (mm)	caliper (mm)
11	Study	Z	25	25	25	25	21	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
		Median(min-max)/	12.0	151.5	38.0	17.2±1.6	4.0	36.6	26.8	14.4±2.7	9.5±2.9	12.9	9.9±3.1	14.3
		Mean±SD	(11.0-12.0)	(130.0-175.0)	(27.0-50.0)		(0.0-19.0)	(23.3-89.3)	(17.1-65.3)			(6.0-24.4)		(6.0-25.8)
	Control N	Z	22	22	22	22	œ	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
		Median(min-max)/	12.0	145.5	43.0	20.6±4.3	5.0	33.0	24.1	23.5±7.6	19.1±7.7	16.0	$13.4\pm5.7$	17.9
		Mean±SD	(10.0-13.0)	(132.0-161.0)	(29.0-71.0)		(3.0-8.0)	(24.9-44.1)	(18.2-32.3)			(7.3-23.0)		(7.1-35.6)
		Ъ	0.865m	0.064 <sup>m</sup>	0.162 <sup>m</sup>	0.005	m6Z:0	0.065 <sup>m</sup>	0.062 <sup>m</sup>	<0.001	<0.001	0.381 <sup>m</sup>	0.035	0.094 <sup>m</sup>
12	Study	Z		36	36	36	18	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
		Median(min-max)/	$12.2\pm0.8$	156.0	41.5±6.2	$16.8\pm1.7$	5.0 (0.0-10.0)	68.9±24.8	$50.4\pm18.1$	$13.0\pm3.0$	8.2±3.3	$11.5\pm4.0$	9.0±2.7	$12.9\pm5.3$
		Mean±SD		(146.0-175.0)										
	Control		25	25	25	25	7	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
		Median(min-max)/	$12.7\pm0.6$	153.0	$48.4\pm9.6$	20.3±3.7	6.0 (2.0-8.0)	37.7±6.0	27.6±4.4	21.2±5.9	$16.8\pm6.5$	$15.2\pm4.8$	11.3±4.5	$16.6\pm6.0$
		Mean±SD ₽	0.023	(146.0-172.0) 0.143"	0.004	<0.001	0.363 <sup>m</sup>	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.035	0.015
13	Study	Z	41	39	41	39	22	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
		Median(min-max)/	13.0	163.0	48.0	18.2±1.9	7.7±3.3	63.3±23.2	46.3±17.0	$12.6\pm2.1$	8.2±2.4	12.0	10.0	$12.4\pm4.0$
		Mean±SD	(12.0-15.0)	(150.0-180.0)	(34.0-68.0)							(7.0-26.0)	(5.0-24.0)	
	Control	Z	24	23	24	23	10	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
		Median(min-max)/	13.0	162.0	51.5	$20.2\pm3.6$	$3.9\pm2.4$	42.0±7.7	$30.8\pm5.6$	$18.1\pm5.3$	$14.1\pm 4.9$	12.8	10.3	$15.1\pm6.2$
		Mean±SD	(12.0-14.0)	(147.0-174.0)	(33.0-82.0)	0						(8.5-26.8)	(5.6-25.2)	6
		d	0.083	0.06 <sup>m</sup>	0.175m	0.033	0.003	0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.743"	0.971	0.038
14	Study			47	47	47	26	44	44	47	47	47	47	47
		Median(min-max)/	14.0±0.8	$173.6\pm6.2$	58.9±7.7	19.3	7.8±3.3	68.8±18.9	$50.4 \pm 13.8$	12.6±2.0	8.4±2.4	17.9±5.3	14.4±4.2	11.0 (4.0-22.5)
	,	Mean±SD		;		(16.4-23.8)	ļ				!			
	Control N	Z	63	61	63	61	37	63	63	63	63	62	63	63
		Median(min-max)/	$14.4\pm0.8$	166.0±7.0	58.6±13.3	20.5	5.5±2.9	47.5±8.5	34.5±5.7	$18.6\pm6.1$	$14.9\pm6.6$	12.9±4.3	$11.3\pm4.8$	13.0
		Mean±SD				(14.4-33.6)								(5.0-37.0)
		р	0.009	<0.001	<0.001	0.396 <sup>m</sup>	0.028	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.062 <sup>m</sup>
15	Study	Z	36	36	36	36	18	29	59	36	36	36	36	36
		Median(min-max)/	$14.9\pm0.8$	$175.6\pm6.8$	62.0	20.5	8.5	$68.6\pm19.4$	50.2±14.2	13.8±2.9	$10.3\pm3.2$	14.0±3.8	$12.4\pm3.1$	12.0
		Mean±SD			(30.0-80.0)	(15.3-25.0)	(2.0-13.0)							(6.0-21.6)
	Control N	Z	46	46	45	45	35	46	46	46	46	46	46	46
		Median(min-max)/	$15.3\pm0.9$	168.7±5.9	59.0	20.4	6.0 (0.0-18.0)	20.6±6.8	$37.1 \pm 5.0$	17.4±4.5	$13.9 \pm 4.8$	$11.8\pm3.9$	$10.5 \pm 4.1$	13.0
		Mean±SD			(46.0-80.0)	(17.1-29.4)								(5.0-36.1)
,		<u>م</u> :	0.016	<0.001	0.449m	0.177m	0.698m	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.017	0.024	0.623 <sup>m</sup>
16	Study	Z	21	21	21	21	12	18	2	2.1	21	21	21	21
		Median(min-max)/	17.0	$176.8\pm6.9$	20.0	21.4	2.9±1.6	57.3±6.3	42.1±4.2	15.7	12.5	$21.0\pm 3.6$	$18.6 \pm 3.2$	13.0
		Mean±SD	(15.0-18.0)		(51.0-81.0)	(18.2-24.9)				(12.2-21.4)	(8.4-18.1)			(8.0-19.0)
	Control		28	28	28	28	15	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
		Median(min-max)/	17.0	169.5±7.4	0.09	21.4	$6.4\pm3.0$	$51.2\pm10.6$	37.6±7.4	15.6	12.6	$11.8\pm3.7$	$11.3\pm3.1$	14.4
		Mean±SD n	(14.0-17.0)	0 001	(42.0-87.0)	(17.0-30.5)	0.004	0.035	0.024	(10.7-22.7)	(7.5-19.4)	70 001	70007	(7.8-30.1)
BMI	hody ma	RMI hody mass index FFM fat-free mass m. Mann Whitn	M.m ssem o	1 a	1 toct Mean+	D. moonteta	VII feet Mean+5D: mean+standart deviation n: nercentile SDS standart deviation score +: Student Hest	n. percentile	SDS. ctanda	"+ deviation s	Stropens	+++0c+	10000	201.0

BMI: body mass index, FFM: fat-free mass, m: Mann Whitney U test, Mean±5D: mean±standart deviation, p: percentile, SDS: standart deviation score, t: Student t test.

Table III. The comparison of growth and body parameters according to chronological age.

Group         Height (rm)         Weight (kg)         BMI (gg/m)         Indemnyor         FPM%         Agent (rm)         FPM%         Agent (rm)         FPM%         Agen (rm)         FPM         Agen (rm)         FPM%         Agen (rm)         FPM%         Agen (rm)         FPM%         Agen (rm)         FPM%         Agen (rm)         FPM         Agen (rm)         <	Tonnor									2000	Andomina	Tricons	Cithocopia	
Study N   Nordian(min-max)   148.5±5   39.0Ball Mem Light	Stages	Group		Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	$BMI (kg/m^2)$	rate(cm/year)	FFM%	water %	fat %	fat %	calliper (mm)	calliper (mm)	calliper (mm)
Moderance	1	Study	z	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Mean±SD			Median(min-max)/	$148.5\pm5.9$	39.0±6.1	$17.6\pm2.1$	5.2±2.2	32.5±4.2	23.8±3.0	14.6	8.6	$16.9\pm3.6$	$11.5\pm3.2$	$18.4\pm5.1$
Control N   N   16   16   16   16   16   16   1			Mean±SD							(5.9-19.9)	(6.3-15.0)			
Mediancomin-maxy    144046.3   41649.4   1992.6   44419   319251   2334.3   2334.3   27.5   17.5     Mediancomin-maxy    146046.3   41649   417   28   49   49   49   49   49   49   49   4		Control	Z	16	16	16	7	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Study N   Action (min-max)   156,248.5   4.00   17.4   4.94.5			Median(min-max)/	144.0±6.3	41.6±9.2	19.9±3.6	4.4±1.9	$31.9\pm5.1$	23.3±3.7	22.7	17.2	14.5±3.9	12.4±5.1	17.1±6.7
Shudy N   A			Mean±SD	3		1	0	1		(5.05-5.21)	(0.7-20.1)			9
Study N   N   47   49   47   49445   313   129   49   49     Median(min-max)   1562485   495   27   29   29   29   29   29   29     Median(min-max)   1548466   495   205   205   29   29   29   29   29   29     Median(min-max)   1548466   495   205			Ъ	0.091*	0.454*	0.101*	0.469*	0.751*	0.748*	0.015 KW	0.008 KW	0.149*	0.621*	0.613*
Median(min-max)         156 248.5         40.0         17.4         4.94.5         3.3         12.9         8.5           Median(min-max)         27.048.0         (13.8.21.5)         2.9         37.1         1.71-66.1         (44.21.9)         (35.18.4)           Mean±SD         27.048.0         (13.8.21.5)         1.0         2.9         37.1         27.2         19.2         14.6           Mean±SD         36.62         20.5         50.2.2         37.1         27.2         19.2         14.6           Mean±SD         0.462*         0.004 km         4.001 km         0.912*         4.001 km         4.001 km <td>2</td> <td>Study</td> <td>Z</td> <td>47</td> <td>49</td> <td>47</td> <td>28</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td>	2	Study	Z	47	49	47	28	49	49	49	49	49	49	49
Mean-ED   Mean-ED   C7.0-68.0   (138-21.5)   C23-3-90.3   (17.1-64.1)   (94-21.9)   (35-18.4)     Control N			Median(min-max)/	$156.2\pm 8.5$	40.0	17.4	4.9±4.5	45.4	33.3	12.9	8.5	11.5	0.6	13.0
Control   N   N   N   N   N   N   N   N   N			Mean±SD		(27.0-68.0)	(13.8-21.5)		(23.3-90.3)	(17.1-66.1)	(9.4-21.9)	(3.5-18.4)	(6.0-24.4)	(5.0-18.1)	(6.0-27.0)
Median(min-max)   154,8±66   49:5   20:5   5.0±2   37:1   27:2   19:2   146   146   146   141-30   154,8±6   146   141-30   154,8±6   146   141-30   154,8±7   154,9±7   154,9		Control	Z	27	29	27	12	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
Mean±SD         (32.0-71.0)         (141-30.7)         (26.5-48.7)         (19.4-35.7)         (121-38.9)         (75-33.7)           Study         N         53 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Median(min-max)/</td> <td><math>154.8\pm6.6</math></td> <td>49.5</td> <td>20.5</td> <td>5.0±2.2</td> <td>37.1</td> <td>27.2</td> <td>19.2</td> <td>14.6</td> <td>15.0</td> <td>11.3</td> <td>17.0</td>			Median(min-max)/	$154.8\pm6.6$	49.5	20.5	5.0±2.2	37.1	27.2	19.2	14.6	15.0	11.3	17.0
Study N Median(min-max)   53 53 53 32 51 51 51 53 53 53 83    50001 kW   60,001 kW   60,0			Mean±SD		(32.0-71.0)	(14.1-30.7)		(26.5-48.7)	(19.4-35.7)	(12.1-38.9)	(7.5-33.7)	(7.6-25.1)	(3.2-23.2)	(6.8-35.6)
Study N   Nation			р	0.462*	0.004 KW	<0.001 KW	0.912*	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	0.002  KW	0.001 KW	0.021 KW
Median (min-max)   165.349.9   53.0   18.042.3   7.343.1   58.9   43.2   12.5   8.1     Median (min-max)   165.349.5   53.0   20.0-76.0   8.8   32   58   58   58   58     Median (min-max)   163.549.5   53.0   20.0-243.9   57.434   44.4   32.5   15.9   12.1     Median (min-max)   163.549.5   53.0   20.0-243.9   57.434   20.4-48.8   (9.0-14.9)   (10.2-34.3)   (4.4-28.4)     Study   N   75   75   75   43   67   67   75   75   75     Median (min-max)   168.0   61.641.0   21.5   7.343.1   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.2-33.4)   (3.0-18.1)     Study   N   75   75   74   50   76   76   76   76   76     Median (min-max)   168.0   61.641.0   21.2   67.243   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.9-72.9)     Study   N   20   20   20   20   7   17   17   20   20     Median (min-max)   178.0   70.0   21.941.4   2.0   29.1   44.8-48.4     Median (min-max)   169.0   (10.9-21.0)   (10.9-72.9)   (10.3-33.4)   (10.9-22.9)   (10.3-33.4)   (10.9-22.9)     Median (min-max)   169.0   21.941.4   2.0   29.1   44.28.4     Median (min-max)   169.0   21.941.4   2.0   29.1   44.8-48.9     Median (min-max)   169.0   21.941.4   2.0   29.1   44.8-48.9     Median (min-max)   169.0   21.941.4   2.0   29.1   44.8-48.9     Median (min-max)   169.2   20.0   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)   169.2   20.0   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)   169.2   20.0   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)   169.2   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)   169.2   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)   20.0   20.0   20.0     Median (min-max)	3	Study	Z	53	53	53	32	51	51	53	53	53	53	53
Mean±SD   S8   S8   S8   S8   S8   S8   S8			Median(min-max)/	$165.3\pm9.9$	53.0	$18.0\pm2.3$	7.3±3.1	58.9	43.2	12.5	8.1	$14.3\pm5.6$	$11.9\pm4.8$	12.0±3.9
Median(min-max)   163549.5   53.0   20.24.39   5.74.34   444   32.5   15.9   12.1     Mean±5D			Mean±SD		(30.0-76.0)			(26.0-91.1)	(19.0-66.8)	(8.9-18.5)	(3.0-15.1)			
		Control	Z	58	58	28	32	28	28	28	28	57	58	58
Mean±5D   Mean±5D   0.317*   0.049 kW   0.101*   0.052*   0.001 kW   0.001			Median(min-max)/	$163.5\pm9.5$	53.0	20.2±3.9	5.7±3.4	44.4	32.5	15.9	12.1	$12.2\pm3.7$	$10.3\pm4.3$	13.6±6.6
Study         N         75         75         75         43         67         67         75         75         75         75         43         67         67         75         7			Mean±SD		(33.0-96.0)			(27.8-74.4)	(20.4-48.8)	(10.2-34.3)	(6.4-32.8)			
Study N			р	0.317*	0.049 KW	0.101*	0.052*	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	0.094*	0.071*	0.408*
Median(min-max)/ 174.0 59.7±7.9 19.7 7.3±3.2 85.5 62.6 12.8 8.4  Mean±SD (153.0-190.0) 75 74 50 76 76 76 76 76  Control N	4	Study	Z	75	75	75	43	29	29	75	75	75	75	75
Mean±SD			Median(min-max)/	174.0	59.7±7.9	19.7	7.3±3.2	85.5	62.6	12.8	8.4	15.6	12.7	11.0
Control N         75         75         74         50         76         77         71         71         70         70         70         71         71         71         70         70         70         71         71         70         70         70         70         71         71         70         70         70         70         70         70         70         70         70         70         70         70			Mean±SD	(153.0-190.0)		(15.6-24.9)		(39.1-90.7)	(28.6-66.4)	(9.0-21.4)	(3.4-18.1)	(7.0-28.0)	(7.0-26.0)	(4.0-22.5)
Median(min-max)/         168.0         61.6±10.9         21.2         6.7±3.0         50.3         36.8         16.7         13.4           Mean±SD         (155.0-185.0)         (16-32.0)         (10.9-72.9)         (10.3-53.4)         (9.0-32.7)         (44-28.4)           Study         N         20         20         20         7         17         17         20         20           Median(min-max)/         178.0         70.0         21.9±1.4         2.0         59.1         43.3         15.7±2.7         12.0±3.2           Control         N         10         10         10         6         10         10         10         10         10         10         10           Median(min-max)/         169.5         59.0         21.9±3.3         3.5         49.2         36.1         16.7±3.8         13.7±3.7           Median(min-max)/         169.5         59.0         21.9±3.3         3.5         49.2         36.1         16.7±3.8         13.7±3.7           Pontors No         418.6-61.8)         0.015.8*         0.015.8*         0.012.8*         0.011.8*         0.018.8*         0.018.8*         0.011.8*         0.018.8*         0.021.8*         0.021.8*         0.021.8*         0		Control	Z	75	75	74	50	92	92	2/9	92	92	92	92
Mean±SD   (155.0-185.0)   (166-32.0)   (109-72.9)   (10.3-53.4)   (9.0-32.7)   (44-28.4)     Part			Median(min-max)/	168.0	$61.6 \pm 10.9$	21.2	6.7±3.0	50.3	36.8	16.7	13.4	11.6	10.0	13.2
Study         N         <0.001 kW         0.374*         <0.001 kW         0.385*         <0.001 kW			Mean±SD	(155.0-185.0)		(16.6-32.0)		(10.9-72.9)	(10.3-53.4)	(9.0-32.7)	(4.4-28.4)	(5.0-25.0)	(5.0-26.3)	(5.0-36.1)
Study         N         20         20         7         17         17         20         20           Median(min-max)/         178.0         70.0         21.9±1.4         2.0         59.1         43.3         15.7±2.7         12.0±3.2           Mean±SD         (164.0-190.0)         (51.0-81.0)         (10-3.0)         (41.8-89.6)         (33.6-65.6)         12.0±3.2         10.0±3.2           Control N         10         10         10         6         10         10         10         10           Median(min-max)/         169.5         59.0         21.9±3.3         3.5         49.2         36.1         16.7±3.8         13.7±3.7           Mean±SD         (155.0-175.0)         (53.0-80.0)         (2.0-4.0)         (44.8-61.8)         (32.8-45.2)         9.008 **         0.018 **         0.008 **         0.018 **         0.008 **         0.003 **			р	<0.001 KW	0.374*	<0.001 KW	0.385*	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	<0.001 KW	0.021 KW
edian(min-max)/ 178.0 70.0 21.9±1.4 2.0 59.1 43.3 15.7±2.7 12.0±3.2 san±SD (164.0-190.0) (51.0-81.0) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (33.6-65.6) (33.6-65.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (33.6-65.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (33.6-65.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-99.6) (10-3.0) (41.8-61.8) (33.6-65.6) (10-3.0) (10-	2	Study	z	20	20	20	7	17	17	20	20	20	20	20
cant-SD (164.0-190.0) (51.0-81.0) (1.0-3.0) (41.8-89.6) (33.6-65.6) (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			Median(min-max)/	178.0	70.0	21.9±1.4	2.0	59.1	43.3	15.7±2.7	$12.0\pm3.2$	21.0	17.5	$12.9\pm3.0$
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			Mean±SD	(164.0-190.0)	(51.0-81.0)		(1.0-3.0)	(41.8-89.6)	(33.6-65.6)			(10.8-27.0)	(11.0-22.0)	
edian(min-max)/ 169.5 59.0 21.9±3.3 3.5 49.2 36.1 16.7±3.8 13.7±3.7 (2.0-4.0) (44.8-61.8) (32.8-45.2) (35.0-175.0) (53.0-80.0) (2.0-4.0) (44.8-61.8) (32.8-45.2) (32.8-45.2) (32.8-45.2)		Control	Z	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
ean±SD (155.0-175.0) (53.0-80.0) (2.0-4.0) (44.8-61.8) (32.8-45.2) (40.001 KW 0.038 KW 0.553* 0.012 KW 0.011 KW 0.008 KW 0.418* 0.203*			Median(min-max)/	169.5	59.0	$21.9\pm3.3$	3.5	49.2	36.1	16.7±3.8	$13.7\pm3.7$	10.5	9.6	$14.5\pm4.8$
<0.001 <sup>KW</sup> 0.038 <sup>KW</sup> 0.553* 0.012 <sup>KW</sup> 0.011 <sup>KW</sup> 0.008 <sup>KW</sup> 0.418* 0.203*			Mean±SD	(155.0-175.0)	(53.0-80.0)		(2.0-4.0)	(44.8-61.8)	(32.8-45.2)			(5.1-22.3)	(7.0-20.0)	
			р	<0.001 KW	0.038 KW	0.553*	$0.012  \mathrm{KW}$	0.011 KW	0.008 KW	0.418*	0.203*	0.001 KW	0.001 KW	0.266*

## Injury

The injury rate in soccer players was 39.3% per year, and playing soccer increased the risk of multiple injuries [(OR=0.084, CI 0.018-0.384, p=0.002)]. There was a difference between Tanner stages comparing rates of injury (p<0.05), as the injuries were most common in Tanner stage 4 (16.0%) [(1.5% stage 1, 6.3% stage 2, 9.2% stage 3, and 6.3% stage 5)]. Additionally, two or more injuries were higher in Tanner stage 4 than in the other stages (p=0.031). Injuries occurred more commonly in strikers (86.5%), followed by goalkeepers (33.3%), defenders (32.4%), and midfielders (24.7%) (p<0.001). The most common injuries occurred during the game (53.8%), particularly during the first part (45 min) of the game. The relationship between injury and the study variables is shown in Table IV.

Lower extremity injuries (60.9%) were more common, with toe injuries being the leading cause (28.1%) followed by ankle injuries (14.1%). The most common location of upper extremity injury was the wrist (12.5%). The mean age was reported as 13.6±1.6 for knee injuries, 13.5±1.3 for ankle injuries, and 14.7±1.1 for wrist injuries, and a difference was found between the injury

region and chronological age (p=0.001).

The most common injury mechanisms in soccer players were dribbling and collisions with another player (38.4%, p=0.001). The most common injury types were fractures (26.8%), strains (24.4%), and sprains (17.1% (p=0.008). Although there was no significant relationship between injury type and Tanner stage (p=0.160), fractures (37.0%) and sprains (37.0%) were most common in stage 4 and strain in stage 3 (31.8%) (p = 0.160). A difference was found between injury type and skeletal age (fracture 14.1±1.7, sprain 14.4±1.7, strain 13.7±1.7, and p=0.036). Additionally, the injury type differed according to the playing position. Fractures were more common in defenders (40.9%) and strains/ sprains in strikers (55.0%) (p=0.027).

Weekly training time (hours/week) was lower in the injury group [(6.1±1.1 hours/week in injury positive group vs 6.7±0.8 hours/week in injury negative group), (p<0.001)] but no difference was detected in the number of training days [(4.7±0.4 day/week in injury positive group vs 4.8±0.3 day/week in injury negative group), (p=0.068)]. The duration of the return to training and/or a game was 26.5±24.2 days. The injury rate was 5.8/1000 h, and a positive correlation

**Table IV.** Injury and variables in the study group.

		Injury History			
Variables		Positive		Negative	
variables	N	Median (min-max)/Mean±SD	N	Median (min-max)/Mean±SD	р
Chronological age	81	13.9±1.5	125	13.1±1.4	< 0.001
Skeletal age	81	14.0 (11.0-18.0)	125	13.0 (11.0-17.0)	<0.001*
Weight (kg)	81	56.7±12.4	125	50.7±11.8	0.001
Height (cm)	80	168.8±10.6	124	165.4±12.0	0.038
BMI (kg/m²)	80	19.7±2.4	124	18.3±2.3	< 0.001
BMI (p)	80	34.6 (3.2-82.6)	124	24.7 (1.0-82.1)	0.003*
Growth rate (cm/year)	46	6.6±3.8	71	6.1±3.7	0.518
Growth rate SDS	45	0.3±1.3	71	0.5±1.9	0.021
Total body fat %	81	13.9 (9.0-21.9)	125	12.8 (5.9-20.0)	0.104*
FFM%	75	58.1 (27.8-91.0)	118	80.5 (23.3-91.1)	0.972*
Total body water %	75	42.5 (20.4-66.5)	118	58.8 (17.1-66.8)	0.974*
Total abdominal fat %	81	9.7 (3.4-18.4)	125	8.3 (3.0-16.1)	0.043*

BMI: body mass index, FFM: fat-free mass, Mean±SD: mean±standart deviation, p: percentile, SDS: standart deviation score, \*Mann Whitney U test.

was found with chronological age (rho= 0.477, p<0.001), skeletal age (rho= 0.457, p<0.001), height (rho= 0.333, p=0.003), weight (rho= 440, p<0.001), and BMI (rho= 0.474, p<0.001), and a negative correlation was observed with training hours/week (rho= -0.486, p<0.001). The injury rate of the training time (days) for five days was 5.4/1000 h, and for the four days was 6.8/1000 h (p=0.041). Regression analysis showed that the growth rate of SDS and total body water had an impact on injury risk (Table V).

#### Discussion

The purpose of the study was to compare the growth and biological maturation of young soccer players to that of their non-athletic, healthy counterparts, as well as to assess injury characteristics, in particular their relationship to biological maturity. Taller height, lower weight and lower BMI observed in the study group clearly demonstrate the benefits of regular training on the body. Cacciari et al.19 stated that the soccer players were taller than the controls in the pubertal period (14-16 chronological age), however, they found no significant growth differences in soccer players compared to the control group in the prepubertal period. We found that the anthropometrical findings were better in soccer players in all age groups, but the growth rate was not observed to be different at 11.0, 12.0, and 15.0 years old. Moreover, the growth rate was higher in the study group, especially at the predicted growth spurt ages of 13.0, 14.0 years. It was interesting to find that at the age of 16.0 years, the growth rate was higher

in the controls than in the players. This result may suggest that players reached their target height on time or earlier than the controls. Moreover, the growth rate was higher in the study group in Tanner stages 1, 2, 3, and 4, but was higher in the control group only at stage 5. This result might be due to the small number of participants in Tanner Stage 5.

While total body fat and abdominal fat percentages were found to be lower in soccer players, total body water and FFM were higher; moreover, these findings were seen in all Tanner stages. Playing soccer also has beneficial effects on the body's metabolism, as suggested by the positive correlations between total body water, FFM, and the negative correlations between total body fat, total abdominal fat, and abdominal skinfold thickness with training times.

Another important finding was that growth rate had a positive correlation with training time (h/day), but not with the total days of training per week. This result suggests that the training duration is more important than the number of training sessions per week. This shows the importance of adjusting the training time to obtain the maximum benefit by following the growing age.

The peripheral fat thickness, such as triceps, subscapular, thigh, and calf was higher in the study group at the chronological ages of 14.0, 15.0, and 16.0 years old. However, the abdominal skinfold thickness, which is accepted as an indicator of central and visceral obesity, was lower in all ages in the study group. While

**Table V.** Regression analysis of injury in the study group.

Variables	В	Even (R)	95% CI for	Exp(B)
variables	Б	Exp (B)	Lower-Upper	р
Age	.358	1.431	.971-2.233	0.114
Growth rate (SDS)	.304	1.356	1.019-1.803	0.036
BMI (kg/m²)	.011	1.011	.691-1.477	0.957
Total body fat%	.268	1.308	1.000-1.711	0.050
Total body water %	035	.966	.934999	0.041
Abdominal skinfold thickness (mm)	115	.891	.771-1.031	0.120

B: estimated coefficient, BMI: body mass index, CI: confidence interval, Exp(B): odds ratio, SDS: standart deviation score.

total body fat and FFM continue to increase during the growth spurt, it is known that fat accumulation in the extremities temporarily decreases and fat deposits centrally.20 Cacciari et al.19 found that triceps and subscapular skinfold thickness were thinner than controls only in the 12-13.9 years old (chronological age and skeletal age) group, as in the current study. On the other hand, sport-specific training programs increase in intensity and change as age progresses. Akin et al.21 found that central fatness was higher in wrestling, weightlifting, handball, and taekwondo players, and peripheral fatness was higher in soccer players. These results may suggest that exercises for the upper extremity were neglected in the study group, reflecting the nature of soccer. We believe that to reduce the risk of injury in young athletes, whole-body training programs should be encouraged.

It has previously been shown that playing soccer increases the risk of injury.<sup>22</sup> Soccer is a sport involving movement, speed, frequent changes of direction, and direct contact, with the risk of injury among players.23 When examining the frequency of sports injuries, a description of the injury is also important. In the literature, sports injuries are classified according to several criteria, such as; emergency admission, medical care needs or reduction of sports activity, and having a time loss of more than 21 days or having a time loss of at least 48 hours in sports activities.23 In this study, inquiries about the injuries in the last season included hospital or doctor admission and/or the necessity of stopping the activity at the time of injury, the requirement of rest or medical control, and if it occurred during training and/or a game, and/or if it occurred due to another reason. The injury rate in adolescent male soccer players was 5.8/1000 hours. Faude et al.23 evaluated soccer injuries in children and adolescents and found the injury rate in adolescent soccer players aged between 13 and 19 years to be 2-7/1000 hours.

In the current study, the injury rate was highest in Tanner stage 4. Linder et al.<sup>24</sup> examined the relationship between Tanner stage and injury incidence in junior high school soccer players and found that it was higher in adolescents in Tanner stages 3, 4, and 5 who were more mature. In another study evaluating 122 players, the rate of injury was 21.3% (3.6% in the 10-12 age group, 25.0% in the 13-15 age group, and 28.0% in the 16-18 age group).<sup>25</sup>

More aggressive play and greater risk-taking was associated with maturity and lack of coordination and strength in the males who were mature according to Tanner stage but had a weak grip could also be a factor leading to injury.26 The expected growth spurt in males coincides with Tanner stages 3 and 4 (13-14 years), and physical growth and puberty which are characterized by many hormonal, emotional, and neurological changes that impact injury.27 Many studies have reported the high prevalence of sports injuries around the age of growth spurt among adolescent soccer players, especially 6 months before or after the growth spurt age.28 It was shown that injury risk is higher among taller and heavier adolescent male soccer players. Several studies have reported an increased injury rate among heavier players or players with a high BMI. Higher weights produce greater forces that are supposed to be absorbed through soft tissues and joints.<sup>29</sup> And It was reported that taller players reported more injuries than shorter ones.30 Our results suggest that maturity could be a risk factor for injury in soccer.

Training time in hours had a more significant relationship with injury rate than the number of training days per week. These results indicate that the planning of training time to conform to the growth rate is essential to reduce the injury rate. Otherwise, specialization in sports at an early age and an increase in training intensity, duration, and frequency may cause overuse injuries.31 Considering all the findings, growth rate seems to be related to higher injury risk, whereas higher total body water reduces the risk in adolescent male soccer players. It can be deduced that the high range of growth rate increases the risk of injury by increasing the mechanical stress on the musculoskeletal system.32 The growth rates of at least 0.6 cm/ month, monthly BMI-increase of >0.3 kg/m², and decrease in BMI value of at least 0.4 kg/m² were found to be potential injury risk factors.<sup>30</sup> Similarly, Rommers et al.<sup>33</sup> found a 15% increase in injury risk per cm of growth per year and identified a greater increase in leg length (cm/year) as an overuse injury risk factor in young soccer players.<sup>30,33</sup>

On the other hand, adequate hydration should be underlined concerning the lower risk of injury in adolescent male soccer players as shown in our study. Williams<sup>34</sup> reported that hydration increases energy, positively affects agility and movement, helps thermoregulation and mental clarity, increases physical performance, and reduces injury risk. However, optimal fluid intake has been studied more extensively in adults than in children and adolescents. This issue needs to be studied further in children and adolescents.

This research has certain limitations. The primary limitation was that the participants' heights from one year ago were self-reported by the participants of parents. However, studies have shown that adolescents' selfreported height and weight are accurate, so although not ideal, we believe this data to be of value.35 In addition, due to the large number of groups participating at the same time and the participants' reluctance to endure pubertal evaluation due to embarrassment, the evaluation of sexual maturation was also based on self-reported charts rather than physical examination which was another important limitation. Due to the dearth of female professionals in the field, recruiting females from women's soccer teams in Turkey would have been exceedingly difficult. We believe that additional studies evaluating women should be conducted.

In conclusion, playing soccer during the adolescent period is related to better growth and maturity and the risk of injury, and the growth rate of SDS increases this risk. As the impact of injuries varies with maturation status and timing, soccer academies should regularly monitor the maturation status and timing of adolescent soccer players throughout each season with an emphasis on training hours rather than training days, and close monitoring of hydration and nutrition. As adolescents continue to develop physically, their motor and cognitive skills may not yet simultaneously develop, and they are more prone to injury.

# Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank physiotherapist Mehmet Vergili for his valuable contributions in the measurements.

## Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Institutional review board of Hacettepe University GO 17/235-04. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants and their parents or guardians.

## **Author contribution**

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: RD, SA, BÜ, NK, OD data collection: RD, GD analysis and interpretation of results: RD, GD, SA, BÜ, NK, OD draft manuscript preparation: RD, SA, GD, BÜ, NK, OD. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

# Source of funding

The authors declare the study received no funding.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Malina RM, Rogol AD, Cumming SP, Coelho e Silva MJ, Figueiredo AJ. Biological maturation of youth athletes: assessment and implications. Br J Sports Med 2015; 49: 852-859. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bjsports-2015-094623
- Finne E, Bucksch J, Lampert T, Kolip P. Age, puberty, body dissatisfaction, and physical activity decline in adolescents. Results of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey (KiGGS). Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011; 8: 119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-8-119
- 3. Bacil EDA, Mazzardo Júnior O, Rech CR, Legnani RFDS, de Campos W. Physical activity and biological maturation: a systematic review. Rev Paul Pediatr 2015; 33: 114-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpped.2014.11.003
- Malina RM. Physical growth and biological maturation of young athletes. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 1994; 22: 389-433.
- Lillegard WA, Brown EW, Wilson DJ, Henderson R, Lewis E. Efficacy of strength training in prepubescent to early postpubescent males and females: effects of gender and maturity. Pediatr Rehabil 1997; 1: 147-157. https://doi.org/10.3109/17518429709167353
- Habelt S, Hasler CC, Steinbrück K, Majewski M. Sport injuries in adolescents. Orthop Rev (Pavia) 2011; 3: e18. https://doi.org/10.4081/or.2011.e18
- Sothern MS, Loftin M, Suskind RM, Udall JN, Blecker U. The health benefits of physical activity in children and adolescents: implications for chronic disease prevention. Eur J Pediatr 1999; 158: 271-274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004310051070
- 8. Kemper GLJ, van der Sluis A, Brink MS, Visscher C, Frencken WGP, Elferink-Gemser MT. Anthropometric injury risk factors in elite-standard youth soccer. Int J Sports Med 2015; 36: 1112-1117. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1555778
- DiFiori JP. Evaluation of overuse injuries in children and adolescents. Curr Sports Med Rep 2010; 9: 372-378. https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0b013e3181fdba58
- Kanbur NO, Düzgün I, Derman O, Baltaci G. Do sexual maturation stages affect flexibility in adolescent boys aged 14 years? J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2005; 45: 53-57.
- Johnson A, Doherty PJ, Freemont A. Investigation of growth, development, and factors associated with injury in elite schoolboy footballers: prospective study. BMJ 2009; 338: b490. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.b490

- 12. Le Gall F, Carling C, Reilly T. Biological maturity and injury in elite youth football. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2007; 17: 564-572. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2006.00594.x
- 13. Wik EH. Growth, maturation and injuries in high-level youth football (soccer): A mini review. Front Sports Act Living 2022; 4: 975900. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.975900
- Ulkar B, Guner R, Ergen E. Fiziksel olarak aktif çocuk ve ergenlerde yaralanma özelllikleri. 7th International Sports Sciences Congress, Antalya; 2002.
- Corrigendum to "International Olympic Committee Consensus Statement: Methods for Recording and Reporting of Epidemiological Data on Injury and Illness in Sports 2020 (Including the STROBE Extension for Sports Injury and Illness Surveillance (STROBE-SIIS))". Orthop J Sports Med 2020; 8: 2325967120984266. https://doi. org/10.1177/2325967120984266
- 16. Neyzi O, Gunoz H, Furman A, et al. Türk çocuklarında vücut ağırlığı, boy uzunluğu, baş çevresi ve vücut kitle indeksi referans değerleri. Çocuk Sağlığı ve Hastalıkları Dergisi 2008; 51: 1-14.
- 17. Matsudo SMM, Matsudo VKR. Self-assessment and physician assessment of sexual maturation in Brazilian boys and girls: concordance and reproducibility. Am J Hum Biol 1994; 6: 451-455. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.1310060406
- Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A, et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. Br J Sports Med 2006; 40: 193-201. https://doi. org/10.1136/bjsm.2005.025270
- Cacciari E, Mazzanti L, Tassinari D, et al. Effects of sport (football) on growth: auxological, anthropometric and hormonal aspects. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1990; 61: 149-158. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00236710
- 20. Papai J, Troznai Z, Szabo T, Szabo A. Fat pattern of athlete and non-athlete girls during puberty. Anthropological Review 2012; 75: 41-50. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10044-012-0003-5
- 21. Akin G, Ozder A, Ozer Koca B, Gultekin T. Elit erkek sporcuların vücut kompozisyonu değerleri. Ankara Universitesi Dil ve Tarih Cografya Fakültesi Dergisi 2004; 44: 125-134. https://doi.org/10.1501/ Dtcfder\_0000000148
- 22. Wong P, Hong Y. Soccer injury in the lower extremities. Br J Sports Med 2005; 39: 473-482. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2004.015511

- 23. Faude O, Rößler R, Junge A. Football injuries in children and adolescent players: are there clues for prevention? Sports Med 2013; 43: 819-837. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0061-x
- Linder MM, Townsend DJ, Jones JC, Balkcom IL, Anthony CR. Incidence of adolescent injuries in junior high school football and its relationship to sexual maturity. Clin J Sport Med 1995; 5: 167-170. https://doi.org/10.1097/00042752-199507000-00006
- 25. Apti A. 10-18 yaş erkek futbolcularda somatip ve vücut kompozisyonunun aerobik performans ve yaşanan sportif yaralanmalar ile ilişkisinin degerlendirilmesi. Fırat Tıp Dergisi 2010; 15: 118-122.
- Llurda-Almuzara L, Pérez-Bellmunt A, Labata-Lezaun N, López-de-Celis C, Moran J, Clark NC. Sex differences in pre-season anthropometric, balance and range-of-motion characteristics in elite youth soccer players. Healthcare (Basel) 2022; 10: 819. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10050819
- 27. Swain M, Kamper SJ, Maher CG, Broderick C, McKay D, Henschke N. Relationship between growth, maturation and musculoskeletal conditions in adolescents: a systematic review. Br J Sports Med 2018; 52: 1246-1252. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-098418
- 28. Takei S, Torii S, Taketomi S, et al. Developmental stage and lower quadriceps flexibilities and decreased gastrocnemius flexibilities are predictive risk factors for developing Osgood-Schlatter disease in adolescent male soccer players. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2023; 31: 3330-3338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-023-07378-z

- 29. Fridén C, Ekenros L, von Rosen P. Previous injury, sex and well-being are associated with injury profiles in 422 adolescent elite athletes of age 15-16 years: a 20-week longitudinal study. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2023; 9: e001485. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001485
- Mandorino M, Figueiredo AJ, Gjaka M, Tessitore A. Injury incidence and risk factors in youth soccer players: a systematic literature review. Part II: Intrinsic and extrinsic risk factors. Biol Sport 2023; 40: 27-49. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2023.109962
- Jayanthi NA, LaBella CR, Fischer D, Pasulka J, Dugas LR. Sports-specialized intensive training and the risk of injury in young athletes: a clinical casecontrol study. Am J Sports Med 2015; 43: 794-801. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514567298
- 32. Costa E Silva L, Teles J, Fragoso I. Sports injuries patterns in children and adolescents according to their sports participation level, age and maturation. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil 2022; 14: 35. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-022-00431-3
- 33. Rommers N, Rössler R, Shrier I, et al. Motor performance is not related to injury risk in growing elite-level male youth football players. A causal inference approach to injury risk assessment. J Sci Med Sport 2021; 24: 881-885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsams.2021.03.004
- 34. Williams N. Health and Wellness Library [internet]. 2018. Available at: https://www.childrens.com/health-wellness/the-importance-of-hydration-for-young-athletes (Accessed on April 5, 2018)
- 35. Pursey K, Burrows TL, Stanwell P, Collins CE. How accurate is web-based self-reported height, weight, and body mass index in young adults? J Med Internet Res 2014; 16: e4. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2909