

FREQUENCY AND DISTRIBUTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CULTURED HUMAN LYMPHOCYTES*

*Cihangir Özkınay MD***

Key words: chromatid exchange, lymphocyte culture

The recently developed technique for the detection of sister chromatid exchanges (SCE) was originally described by Latt¹. The new techniques involve labelling the two chromatids of a chromosome with 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) and subsequently staining with either fluorescent dyes^{1,2}, Giemsa's stain³ or a combination of both⁴. The harlequin chromosomes yielded by this technique clearly reveal SCE (Figure 1) and provide a powerful means for their study.

The incidence of SCE is proportional to the DNA content in different mammalian species⁵⁻⁸. Studies on the incidence and distribution of SCE within and between chromosomes in man^{9,10}, the Chinese hamster¹¹ and the Indian muntjac¹² have shown that the frequency of SCE per chromosome is directly related to chromosome length. However, detailed studies in man reveal that the smaller chromosomes consistently show a lower frequency than expected⁹. The distribution of SCE within human chromosomes is non-random, with a deficiency in the centromeric and an excess in the mid-arm regions⁹.

Depending upon the species studied, there are conflicting reports as to whether SCE are located on the pale G-banded regions, or at the interfaces between pale and dark G-bands. However, there is clear evidence of an excess of SCE at C-band interfaces in the Indian muntjac¹² and the kangaroo rat¹³. The distribution of SCE in many ways parallels the distribution of spontaneous gross chromosomal aberrations, but the significance of this non-random distribution in the generation of SCE events is not immediately obvious¹⁴.

SCE have proved to be more sensitive than the conventional analysis of chromosome aberrations with regard to some mutagens and carcinogens tested^{10,15,16}. The interaction between carcinogen and chromosome can sometimes cause chromosome breakage of SCE, but more often it results in a submicroscopic genetic change which may subsequently lead to malignant transformation¹⁷.

* From the Department of Pediatrics, Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Izmir.

** Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Ege University Faculty of Medicine.



Figure 1.

Peripheral blood lymphocyte metaphase showing sister chromatid exchanges.

In this study we present data on both the frequency and distribution of SCE in human peripheral lymphocytes.

Material and Methods

Peripheral blood samples from 20 healthy children (10 female and 10 male) were cultured in Difco McCoy 5A medium with a concentration of $10\text{ }\mu\text{g/ml}$ BrdU (Sigma) for 72 hours. All cultures were left to grow in the dark, and slides were prepared by the air-dried technique. Differential staining was induced by the technique described by Korenberg and Freedlender³. Twenty well-spread metaphases from each subject were scored. The chromosome length values were calculated from those reported at the 1971 Paris Conference on Standardization of Human Cytogenetics¹⁸.

Results and Discussion

200 cells from the 10 girls and 200 cells from the 10 boys were analyzed for the distribution of SCE between chromosomes, and the distributions in the 20 children were compared.

Distribution of SCE among chromosomes in the 20 subjects. The distribution of SCE between the nine identifiable chromosome groups was analyzed. The results

TABLE I
Chromosomal Distribution of SCE Frequencies in 10 Girls
(20 metaphases per sample)

Subject	Chromosome or group								
	1	A 2	3	B 4-5	C-X 6-12-X	D 13-15	E 16-18	F 19-20	G 21-22
1	18	16	6	21	73	12	3	4	3
2	23	14	11	24	62	9	13	2	1
3	14	18	14	12	68	15	8	3	2
4	11	9	5	18	57	10	11	2	0
5	12	19	9	16	64	11	7	2	4
6	16	17	12	11	66	8	9	4	3
7	13	10	8	10	71	14	5	3	2
8	9	11	9	13	59	12	7	2	3
9	17	9	12	17	61	10	4	3	2
10	10	14	7	19	55	13	5	4	2
Total	143	137	93	161	636	114	72	29	22
$\bar{X}$	14.30	13.70	9.30	16.10	63.60	11.40	7.20	2.90	2.20
S*	4.27	3.77	2.91	4.58	5.93	2.22	3.16	0.88	1.14
$\bar{X}/\text{cell}$	0.71	0.68	0.46	0.80	3.18	0.57	0.36	0.14	0.11
S*/cell	0.95	0.84	0.65	1.02	1.32	0.49	0.70	0.19	0.25

S* = Sample standard deviation.

are shown in Tables I and II. Although there was considerable variation between subjects in the distribution of SCE among chromosomes, the difference was not statistically significant. Nor was there a significant difference between the sexes. This result shows that there is a homogeneous distribution between subjects of SCE in the same chromosome or chromosome groups, and the chromosome susceptibility to BrdU is similar.

Distribution of SCE among chromosomes. In our subjects the frequency of SCE per chromosome or chromosome group generally increased with chromosome length,

TABLE II
Chromosomal Distribution of SCE Frequencies in 10 Boys
(20 metaphases per sample)

Subject	Chromosome or group								
	1	A 2	3	B 4-5	C-X 6-12-X	D 13-15	E 16-18	F 19-20	G-Y 21-22-Y
1	10	14	7	28	52	13	8	3	2
2	14	12	10	19	64	15	8	1	4
3	8	10	13	15	48	18	10	6	3
4	19	15	9	21	79	9	11	3	3
5	20	17	11	18	76	11	6	5	2
6	14	9	8	17	53	16	9	4	5
7	6	8	7	14	58	15	7	2	4
8	17	21	14	27	65	10	8	4	2
9	15	16	11	22	69	8	12	0	3
10	16	14	12	19	68	9	9	2	3
Total	139	136	102	200	632	124	88	30	31
$\bar{X}$	13.90	13.60	10.20	20.00	63.20	12.40	8.80	3.00	3.10
S*	4.61	3.98	2.44	4.64	10.34	3.47	1.81	1.82	0.99
$\bar{X}/\text{cell}$	0.69	0.68	0.51	1.00	3.16	0.62	0.44	0.15	0.15
S*/cell	1.03	0.89	0.54	1.03	2.31	0.77	0.40	0.40	0.22

S* = Sample standard deviation.

indicating that the distribution of SCE is a general function of that length (Tables III, IV). Despite the overall correlation, however, chi-square analysis of the distribution of exchange points indicated a highly significant deviation ($p < 0.001$) between the expected and observed number of exchanges in our subjects (Tables V, VI). Chromosomes 1 and 2 and the C-X group in the girls and the C-X group in the boys showed more exchanges than expected, while the D, E, F and G or G-Y groups showed fewer than expected in both boys and girls.

TABLE III
The Distribution of SCE Between Chromosomes in 10 Girls

Chromosome	Relative chromosome length ¹⁸	Number of chromosomes scored	Number of SCE	Mean frequency of SCE/chromosome
A ₁	8.44	400	143	0.357
A ₂	8.02	400	137	0.342
A ₃	6.83	400	93	0.232
B	6.19	800	161	0.201
C-X	4.99	3200	636	0.198
D	3.58	1200	114	0.095
E	3.18	1200	72	0.060
F	2.61	800	29	0.036
G	1.97	800	22	0.027

TABLE IV
The Distribution of SCE Between Chromosomes in 10 Boys

Chromosome	Relative chromosome length ¹⁸	Number of chromosomes scored	Number of SCE	Mean frequency of SCE/chromosome
A ₁	8.44	400	139	0.347
A ₂	8.02	400	136	0.340
A ₃	6.83	400	102	0.255
B	6.19	800	200	0.250
C-X	4.99	3000	632	0.210
D	3.58	1200	124	0.103
E	3.18	1200	88	0.073
F	2.61	800	30	0.037
G-Y	2.03	1000	31	0.031

TABLE V

Distribution of Exchanges Between Chromosomes in 10 Girls

Chromosome	Total relative length ¹⁸	Percent length	Observed exchanges	Expected exchanges	χ^2
A ₁	16.88	0.08030	143	112.982	7.975
A ₂	16.04	0.07630	137	107.354	8.187
A ₃	13.66	0.06498	93	91.427	0.027
B	24.76	0.11778	161	165.717	0.134
C-X	79.94	0.38027	636	535.040	19.051
D	21.52	0.10237	114	144.035	7.911
E	19.08	0.09076	72	127.699	24.294
F	10.46	0.04976	29	70.012	24.024
G	7.88	0.03748	22	52.734	17.912
Total	210.22	1.00000	1407	1407.000	109.515 *

* $p < 0.001$.

TABLE VI

Distribution of Exchanges Between Chromosomes in 10 Boys

Chromosome	Total relative length ¹⁸	Percent length	Observed exchanges	Expected exchanges	χ^2
A ₁	16.88	0.08150	139	120.783	2.748
A ₂	16.04	0.07743	136	114.751	3.935
A ₃	13.66	0.06594	102	97.723	0.187
B	24.76	0.11953	200	177.143	2.949
C-X	74.82	0.36119	632	535.284	17.476
D	21.52	0.10389	124	153.965	5.832
E	19.08	0.09211	88	136.507	17.237
F	10.46	0.05049	30	74.173	26.247
G-Y	10.03	0.04842	31	71.758	23.150
Total	207.25	1.00050	1482	1482.087	99.761 *

* $p < 0.001$.

The excess of exchanges in the longer chromosomes has been noted by Morgan and Crossen¹⁹ in the B group, Crossen et al²⁰ in chromosomes 1, 2 and the B group, Knuutila et al²¹ in the B and C groups, Cheng et al²² in chromosomes 1 and 2 and the B, C and D groups, and by Michalová et al²³ in chromosome groups A, B and C. The excess of exchanges in the longer chromosomes may reflect differences in base composition along the length of these chromosomes²⁰ or their susceptibility to BrdU.

The unexpectedly fewer exchanges in the shorter chromosomes have also been noted in the literature^{9,20,21,23-25}. This is compatible with the low incidence of SCE in the centromeric region, where a large number of these chromosomes are located²⁵.

Summary

The frequency and distribution among chromosomes of sister chromatid exchanges (SCE) was examined in a population of 20 normal children (10 girls and 10 boys) and a comparison made among the subjects. The frequency of exchanges generally increased with chromosome length. However, chromosomes 1 and 2 and the C-X group in the girls and the C-X group in the boys showed more exchanges than expected, while the D, E, F and G or G-Y groups showed fewer than expected in both boys and girls.

REFERENCES

1. Latt SA. Microfluorometric detection of deoxyribonucleic acid replication in human metaphase chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 70:3395, 1973.
2. Dutrillaux B, Fosse AM, Prieur M, Lejeune J. Analyse des échanges de chromatides dans les cellules somatiques humaines. Traitement au BUDR (5 bromodéoxyuridine) et fluorescence bicolore par l'acridine orange. *Chromosoma* 48:327, 1974.
3. Korenberg JR, Freedlender EF. Giemsa technique for the detection of sister chromatid exchanges. *Chromosoma* 48:355, 1974.
4. Perry P, Wolff S. New Giemsa method for the differential staining of sister chromatids. *Nature* 251:156, 1974.
5. Evans HJ. Facts and fancies relating to chromosome structure in man. In: Harris H, Hirschhorn K (eds). *Advances in Human Genetics* 8. New York: Plenum Pub, 1977, p. 412.
6. Natarajan AT. Effects of mutagens of chromosomes (Introduction). *Chromosomes Today* 6:283, 1977.
7. Bianchi NO, Bianchi MS, Lezana EA, Suarez JEZ. Inter-species variation in the frequency of SCE. *Chromosomes Today* 6:307, 1977.
8. Pathak S, Ward OG, Hsu TC. Rate of sister chromatid exchanges in mammalian cells differing in diploid numbers. *Experientia* 33:875, 1977.
9. Galloway SM, Evans HJ. Sister chromatid exchanges in human chromosomes from normal individuals and patients with ataxia telangiectasia. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 15:17, 1975.

10. Latt SA. Sister chromatid exchanges, indices of human chromosome damage and repair: Detection by fluorescence and induction by mitomycin C. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 71:3162, 1974.
11. Wolff S, Perry P. Differential Giemsa staining of sister chromatids and the study of chromatid exchanges without autoradiography. *Chromosoma* 48:341, 1974.
12. Carrano AV, Wolff S. Distribution of sister chromatid exchanges in the euchromatin and heterochromatin of the Indian muntjac. *Chromosoma* 53:361, 1975.
13. Bostock CJ, Christie S. Analysis of the frequency of sister chromatid exchange in different regions of chromosomes of the kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys ordii*). *Chromosoma* 56:275, 1976.
14. Evans HJ. What are the sister chromatid exchanges? *Chromosomes Today* 6:315, 1977.
15. Perry P, Evans HJ. Cytological detection of mutagen-carcinogen exposure by sister chromatid exchange. *Nature* 258:121, 1975.
16. Shiraishi Y, Sandberg AA. Effects of various chemical agents on sister chromatid exchanges, chromosome aberrations, and DNA repair in normal and abnormal human lymphoid cell lines. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 62:27, 1979.
17. Levan G, Mitelman F. Chromosomes and the etiology of cancer. *Chromosomes Today* 6:363, 1977.
18. Paris Conference (1971). *Standardization in Human Cytogenetics* (Birth Defects: Original Article Series, Vol. 8, No. 7). New York: The National Foundation, 1972.
19. Morgan WF, Crossen PE. The frequency and distribution of sister chromatid exchanges in human chromosomes. *Hum Genet* 38:271, 1977.
20. Crossen PE, Drets ME, Arrighi FE, Johnston DA. Analysis of the frequency and distribution of sister chromatid exchanges in cultured human lymphocytes. *Hum Genet* 35:345, 1977.
21. Knuutila S, Mäki-Paakkanen J, Kähkönen M, Hokkanen E. An increased frequency of chromosomal changes and SCE's in cultured blood lymphocytes of 12 subjects vaccinated against smallpox. *Hum Genet* 41:89, 1978.
22. Cheng WS, Tarone RE, Andrews AD, et al. Ultraviolet light-induced sister chromatid exchanges in xeroderma pigmentosum and in Cockayne's syndrome lymphocyte cell lines. *Cancer Res* 38:1601, 1978.
23. Michalová K, Málková J, Urban J. Cell division and sister chromatid exchanges in 45,X/46,Xi(Xq) mosaicism. *Hum Genet* 39:87, 1977.
24. Chaganti RS, Schonberg S, German J. A manyfold increase in sister chromatid exchanges in Bloom's syndrome lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 71:4508, 1974.
25. Latt SA. Localization of sister chromatid exchanges in human chromosomes. *Science (Washington)* 185:74, 1974.